Universal methods for extending any entanglement witness from the bipartite to the multipartite case

Bang-Hai Wang[1,2], Hai-Ru Xu[1,2], and Simone Severini[2]
[1]*School of Computers, Guangdong University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China*
[2]*Department of Computer Science, and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, WC1E 6BT London, United Kingdom*
(Dated: August 19, 2014)

Any bipartite entanglement witness W can be written as $W = c_\sigma I - \sigma$, where σ is a quantum state, I is the identity matrix, and c_σ is a non-negative number. We present a general method to extend the given entanglement witness to multipartite cases via purification, partial purification, and direct tensor of the quantum state σ. Our methods extend σ but leave the parameter c_σ untouched. This is very valuable since the parameter is generally not easy to compute.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Mn 03.65.Ud 03.65.Ca

arXiv:1405.2021v2 [quant-ph] 17 Aug 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well established that entanglement plays an essential role in many applications of quantum information science [1, 2]. The detection of entanglement has become one of the central problems in the field. The notion of an entanglement witness (in short, witness), which is formulated in terms of a positive, but not completely positive map via the Jamiołkowski-Choi isomorphism [3], is arguably the most powerful method for entanglement detection. An observable $W = W^\dagger$ is said to be a *witness* if: *(i)* it has non-negative expectation value for an arbitrary separable state; and *(ii)* it has at least one negative eigenvalue (see, *e.g.*, [4]). A witness is said to be *weakly optimal* if its expectation value vanishes on at least one product state [5, 6].

There is substantial literature on the topic. Bipartite witnesses, *i.e.*, witnesses for bipartite quantum systems, have been exhaustively studied in a number of works [4, 7–14]. However, multipartite witnesses for partial and genuine entanglement are more difficult to approach [15–19]. Of course, such witnesses are important because multipartite entanglement has been shown to be an essential resource in a variety of contexts, including applications in quantum computing [20], interferometry [21], and in metrological tasks [22]. We refer the reader to Ref. [23] for a recent and extensive review on entanglement witnesses.

In the present paper, we consider the general form of a witness, $W = c_\sigma I - \sigma$, where c_σ is a non-negative real number, I is the identity matrix, and σ is a quantum state. Given W, we propose methods for purifying, partially purifying, or directly tensor the quantum state σ, and in this way extending the witness from the bipartite to the multipartite case. Our methods modify σ but leave the parameter c_σ unchanged. This is valuable since c_σ is in general not easy to compute.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce a general form of bipartite entanglement witnesses from density matrices of states. In Section III, we extend any witness in bipartite to witnesses in multipartite by purification and by partial purification. In Section IV, we show the extension by extending states to mixed states. Section V is a summary.

II. PRELIMINARIES

For our purposes, we can consider a finite dimensional composite Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$. Let σ be a density matrix for such a system. The quantum state σ is said to be *separable* if it can be written as

$$\sigma = \sum_k p_k |\psi_1^k\rangle\langle\psi_1^k| \otimes |\psi_2^k\rangle\langle\psi_2^k| \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n^k\rangle\langle\psi_n^k|, \quad (1)$$

where p_k is a probability distribution and each $|\psi_i^k\rangle$ is a pure state of $\mathcal{H}_i$, for $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. If a quantum state ρ cannot be written as the form of Eq. (1), it is referred to as *multipartite entangled*.

On the basis of this definition, a *multipartite entanglement witness*, $W \in \mathcal{H}$, is a Hermitian operator such that: *(i)* $\mathrm{tr}(W\sigma) \geq 0$ for all separable states σ; *(ii)* $\mathrm{tr}(W\rho) < 0$ for at least one state ρ.

Wang and Long [6, 24] showed that any (possibly unnormalized) bipartite witness $W \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be written as

$$W = \rho - c_\rho I, \quad (2)$$

where ρ is a (separable) density matrix and

$$\lambda_{0\rho} < c_\rho \leq c_\rho^{max} \quad (3)$$

is a real number related to ρ. Here, $\lambda_{0\rho}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of ρ. The parameter c_ρ^{max} is the maximum c_ρ for which W is a witness, and it is given by

$$c_\rho^{max} = \inf_{\||\mu_A\rangle\|=1, \||\mu_B\rangle\|=1} \langle\mu_A\mu_B|\rho|\mu_A\mu_B\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $|\mu_A\mu_B\rangle$ is any unit product state. A witness $W = \rho - c_\rho I$ is *weakly optimal* if and only if $c_\rho = c_\rho^{max}$.

Remark 1. Let $W = \rho - c_\rho I$ be a witness such that ρ has spectral decomposition $\rho = \sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_{i\rho} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$, with

orthonormal basis $\{|e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, ..., |e_k\rangle\}$. We have one of the following two cases: *(i)* (ρ has zero nullity) The basis spans $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$ and $|e_0\rangle$ is an entangled state corresponding to the minimum eigenvalue $\lambda_{0\rho} \neq 0$; *(ii)* (ρ has nonzero nullity) The complementary space of the basis is a *completely entangled subspace* (for short, *CES*). A CES does not contain any product state. [25–28].

Similarly to Refs. [6, 24], we can obtain the *dual witnesses' form* of Eq. (2),

$$W = c_\rho I - \rho, \tag{5}$$

where ρ is a (separable) density matrix and

$$c_\rho^{min} \leq c_\rho < \lambda_{M\rho} \tag{6}$$

is a real number related to ρ. The maximum eigenvalue of ρ is denoted by $\lambda_{M\rho}$ is and

$$c_\rho^{min} = \sup_{\||\mu_A\rangle\|=1, \||\mu_B\rangle\|=1} \langle\mu_A\mu_B|\rho|\mu_A\mu_B\rangle \tag{7}$$

is the minimum c_ρ such that W is a witness; $|\mu_A\mu_B\rangle$ is any unit product state.

Remark 2. If $W = c_\rho I - \rho$ is a witness with spectral decomposition of $\rho = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \lambda_{i\rho} |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$, the eigenspace of the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{M\rho}$ is a CES.

It is easy to conclude that any multipartite witness can be constructed from a (separable) state of the form given in Eq. (2) or Eq. (5).

III. PURIFICATION AND PARTIAL PURIFICATION

A. Purification

Purification is a fundamental tool [29]. We recall it for the sake of completeness. Let ρ_A be a state in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A$. We introduce another system, with space denoted by $\mathcal{H}_B$, and define a pure state ψ_{AB} for the joint system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ such that $\rho_A = \mathrm{tr}_B(|\psi_{AB}\rangle\langle\psi_{AB}|)$. More precisely, suppose ρ_A has an orthonormal decomposition $\rho_A = \sum_i p_i |i^A\rangle\langle i^A|$. To *purify* ρ_A, we introduce a system $\mathcal{H}_B$ which has *the same state space* as ρ_A and an orthonormal basis $|i^B\rangle$. We define a pure state for the combined system by $|\psi_{AB}\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |i^A\rangle|i^B\rangle$. This state is said to be a *purification* of $|\psi_{AB}\rangle$. The reduced density matrix for $\mathcal{H}_A$ corresponding to the state ψ_{AB} is

$$\mathrm{tr}_B(|\psi_{AB}\rangle\langle\psi_{AB}|) = \sum_{ij} \sqrt{p_i p_j} |i^A\rangle\langle j^A| \mathrm{tr}(|i^B\rangle\langle j^B|) \tag{8}$$

$$= \sum_{ij} \sqrt{p_i p_j} |i^A\rangle\langle j^A| \delta_{ij} \tag{9}$$

$$= \sum_i p_i |i^A\rangle\langle i^A| \tag{10}$$

$$= \rho^A. \tag{11}$$

We are now ready to state our first result.

Theorem 1. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness, then

$$W_{123} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{123} - |\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}| \tag{12}$$

is a tripartite witness, where $|\psi_{123}\rangle$ is any purification of σ_{12}.

In fact, this extension is the inverse process of the *cascaded structure* in [19]. We give two proofs of the statement in our language: The first proof is lengthy; the second one is much shorter. We believe that both proofs are instructive.

Lemma 1. [29] Suppose $|AB_1\rangle$ and $|AB_2\rangle$ are two purifications of the state ρ^A to a composite system $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. There exists a unitary transformation U_B acting on system $\mathcal{H}_B$ such that $|AB_1\rangle = (I_A \otimes U_B)|AB_2\rangle$.

Proof: Let us consider the spectral decomposition $\sigma_{12} = \sum_i p_i |\psi_{12}^i\rangle\langle\psi_{12}^i|$. The state $|\psi'_{123}\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\psi_{12}^i\rangle|e_3^i\rangle$ is a purification of σ_{12}, where $|e_3^i\rangle$ is any orthonormal basis states in $\mathcal{H}_3$. Suppose

$$c^{min}_{|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|}$$

$$= \max_{\||\mu'_1\rangle\|=1, \||\mu'_2\rangle\|=1, \||\mu'_3\rangle\|=1} \langle\mu'_1\mu'_2\mu'_3|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|\mu'_1\mu'_2\mu'_3\rangle \tag{13}$$

$$= \langle\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$= rr^* \tag{15}$$

and $|\mu_3\rangle = \sum_i t_i |e_3^i\rangle$, where r and t_i are complex numbers. Then,

$$r = \langle\mu_1\mu_2|\langle\mu_3| \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\psi_{12}^i\rangle|e_3^i\rangle \tag{16}$$

$$= \langle\mu_1\mu_2| \sum_j t_j^* \langle e_3^j| \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\psi_{12}^i\rangle|e_3^i\rangle \tag{17}$$

$$= \langle\mu_1\mu_2| \sum_i \sqrt{p_i} |\psi_{12}^i\rangle t_i^*. \tag{18}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_i \frac{\sqrt{p_i}\langle\mu_1\mu_2|\psi_{12}^i\rangle}{r} t_i^* = 1.$$

Let $t_i = \frac{\sqrt{p_i}\langle\mu_1\mu_2|\psi_{12}^i\rangle}{r}$. Since $\sum_i t_i t_i^* = 1$, we have

$$|\mu_3\rangle = \sum_i \frac{\sqrt{p_i}}{r} \langle\mu_1\mu_2|\psi_{12}^i\rangle|e_3^i\rangle.$$

Similarly, suppose

$$c_{\sigma_{12}}^{min} = \langle\mu_1\mu_2|\sigma_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle.$$

We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}} \\
&= \langle\mu_1\mu_2|(\sum_i p_i|\psi^i_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^i_{12}|)|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle && (19)\\
&= \sum_i \sqrt{p_i}\langle\mu_1\mu_2|\psi^i_{12}\rangle \sum_j \sqrt{p_j}\langle\psi^j_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle e^i_3|e^j_3\rangle && (20)\\
&= r\sum_i \sqrt{p_i}\langle\psi^i_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle(\langle e^i_3|\underbrace{\sum_j \frac{\sqrt{p_j}}{r}\langle\mu_1\mu_2|\psi^j_{12}\rangle|e^j_3\rangle}_{=|\mu_3\rangle}) && (21)\\
&= r\sum_i \sqrt{p_i}\langle\psi^i_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle(\langle e^i_3|\mu_3\rangle) && (22)\\
&= r\langle\psi'_{123}|\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\rangle && (23)\\
&= \langle\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\rangle && (24)\\
&= c^{min}_{|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|}, && (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c^{min}_{|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|} \\
&= \max_{\||\mu'_1\rangle\|=1,\||\mu'_2\rangle\|=1,\||\mu'_3\rangle\|=1} |\langle\mu'_1\mu'_2\mu'_3|\psi'_{123}\rangle|^2 && (26)\\
&= \max_{\||\mu'_3\rangle\|=1}\left(\max_{\||\mu'_1\rangle\|=1,\||\mu'_2\rangle\|=1} |\langle\mu'_3|\psi'^{|\mu'_1\mu'_2\rangle}_{123}\rangle|^2\right), && (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$|\psi'^{|\mu'_1\mu'_2\rangle}_{123}\rangle := \langle\mu'_1\mu'_2|\psi'_{123}\rangle.$$

Since $|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|$ is a pure state, $\lambda_{M|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|}=1$ and $\lambda_{M\sigma_{12}} \leq \lambda_{M|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|}$. Since $W_{12}=c_{\sigma_{12}}I-\sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness, $c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}} \leq c_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M\sigma_{12}}$. Thus,

$$c^{min}_{|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|} = c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}} \leq c_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M\sigma_{12}} \leq \lambda_{M|\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|}.$$

By Eq. (5), Eqs. (6) and Eq. (7),

$$W_{123} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{123} - |\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|$$

is a witness. By Lemma 1, for any purification $|\psi_{123}\rangle$ of σ_{12}, Eq. (12) holds. ■

Proof: The proof uses two points:

(i) Suppose μ_i is any unit pure state of a system with Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_i$, for $i=1,2,3$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3|W_{123}|\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\rangle \\
&= c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(tr_3(|\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}||\mu_3\rangle\langle\mu_3|)|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) && (28)\\
&\geq c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(tr_3(|\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|)|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) && (29)\\
&= c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(\sigma_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) && (30)\\
&= tr(W_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) && (31)\\
&\geq 0, && (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

since W_{12} is a bipartite witness.

(ii) By Eq. (6), $W_{123}<0$ since $c_{\sigma_{12}}<1$.

Combining together *(i)* and *(ii)*, W_{123} is a tripartite witness. ■

Corollary 1. If $W_{12}=c_{\sigma_{12}}I-\sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness, then

$$W_{12\cdots n} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{12\cdots n} - |\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|\otimes|\psi_4\rangle\langle\psi_4|\otimes\cdots\otimes|\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n| \quad (33)$$

is a n-partite witness, where $|\psi_{123}\rangle$ is any purification of σ_{12} and $|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ is a pure state in $\mathcal{H}_i$.

We illustrate our results for the case of the isotropic qubit state. It is known that

$$\sigma_q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+q}{4} & 0 & 0 & \frac{q}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1-q}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-q}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{q}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1+q}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the (separable) density matrix of

$$\sigma_q = q|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1-q)I/4,$$

where $|\psi\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$ and $0<q<\frac{1}{3}$.

By computing $c^{min}_{\sigma_q}=\frac{1+q}{4}$ [24],

$$W_{12} = \frac{1+q}{4}I - \sigma_q \quad (34)$$

is a bipartite witness for $0<q<\frac{1}{3}$. It works for

$$\pi_p = p|\psi\rangle\langle\psi| + (1-p)I/4,$$

where $p>\frac{1}{3}$. Since the maximum eigenvalue of σ_q is $\lambda_{M\sigma}=\frac{1+3q}{4}$,

$$W_{12} = c_{\sigma_q}I - \sigma_q \quad (35)$$

is a bipartite witness for $\frac{1+q}{4} \leq c_{\sigma_q} < \frac{1+3q}{4}$.

The spectral decomposition for σ_q is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_q = & \frac{1-q}{4}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + \frac{1-q}{4}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| \\
& + \frac{1-q}{4}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}| + \frac{1+3q}{4}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}|, \quad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\psi^0_{12}\rangle=|10\rangle$ and $|\psi^1_{12}\rangle=|01\rangle$ are separable, while $|\psi^2_{12}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle-|11\rangle)$ and $|\psi^3_{12}\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)$ are entangled.

A purification of σ_q in $C^2\otimes C^2\otimes C^4$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi_{123}\rangle = & \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle|1\rangle \\
& + \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle|2\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1+3q}{4}}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle|3\rangle, \quad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=0}^3$ is the orthogonal basis in C^4. Hence,

$$W_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4}I_{123} - |\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|$$

is a tripartite witness in $C^2\otimes C^2\otimes C^4$ for $0<q<\frac{1}{3}$.

B. Partial purification

The dimension of $\mathcal{H}_3$ is the same as the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ (or it is equal to the rank of σ_{12}) because of the demand of purification. There exists a large gap. There also exists a restriction to the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_3$ for the extension. One would hope that $\mathcal{H}_3$ can be of any dimension. Here we need to extend the notion of purification. The joint system (A, B) will be on a space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

Suppose the spectral decomposition for ρ_A is

$$\rho_A = \lambda_0 |\phi_0^A\rangle\langle\phi_0^A| + \lambda_1 |\phi_1^A\rangle\langle\phi_1^A| + \cdots + \lambda_M |\phi_M^A\rangle\langle\phi_M^A|,$$

with $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_M$, where λ_0 and λ_M are the minimum and maximum eigenvalue, respectively. To purify ρ_A to the system B, whose dimension is less than the rank of ρ_A, we define a (unnormalized) pure state $|\phi_{AB}\rangle$ for the joint system such that

$$|\phi_{AB}\rangle = \sum_i \sqrt{\lambda_i} |\phi_i^A\rangle |e_i^B\rangle,$$

This state is said to be a *partial purification* of ρ^A. The states $|e_i^B\rangle$ form an orthonormal basis in $\mathcal{H}_B$. Moreover, λ_i and $|\phi_i^A\rangle$ are selected from the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of ρ_A. When λ_M and $|\phi_M^A\rangle$ (respectively, λ_0 and $|\phi_0^A\rangle$) are selected, the state $|\phi_{AB}\rangle$ is said to be a *partial purification* with maximum eigenvalue (respectively minimum eigenvalue).

Generally, a partial purification $|\phi_{AB}\rangle$ is unnormalized and $\mathrm{tr}_B(|\phi_{AB}\rangle\langle\phi_{AB}|) \leq \rho^A$. For example, if the spectral decomposition for $\sigma_{12} \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is

$$\sigma_{12} = p_0 |\phi_{12}^0\rangle\langle\phi_{12}^0| + p_1 |\phi_{12}^1\rangle\langle\phi_{12}^1| + p_2 |\phi_{12}^2\rangle\langle\phi_{12}^2| + p_3 |\phi_{12}^3\rangle\langle\phi_{12}^3|,$$

where $|\phi_{12}^i\rangle$ is the orthonormal basis state in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. Partial purifications of σ_{12} are

$$|\phi_{123}\rangle = \sqrt{p_0} |\phi_{12}^0\rangle |0\rangle + \sqrt{p_1} |\phi_{12}^1\rangle |1\rangle,$$

and

$$|\phi'_{123}\rangle = \sqrt{p_0} |\phi_{12}^0\rangle |0\rangle + \sqrt{p_3} |\phi_{12}^3\rangle |1\rangle.$$

Theorem 2. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness,

$$W_{123} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{123} - |\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}| \tag{38}$$

is a tripartite witness, where the (unnormalized) pure state $|\phi_{123}\rangle$ is a partial purification of σ_{12} with maximum eigenvalue.

Proof: We proceed as follows:

(i) By Theorem 1,

$$c^{min}_{|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|} \leq c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}} \text{ and } c^{min}_{|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|} \leq c_{\sigma_{12}}.$$

(ii) By the definition of partial purification, the maximum eigenvalue of $|\phi_{123}\rangle$ is equal to the sum of eigenvalues selected to partially purify. Since we select the maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{M\sigma_{12}}$, we have then

$$\lambda_{M\sigma_{12}} \leq \lambda_{M|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|}.$$

Since $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness,

$$c_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M\sigma_{12}} \text{ and } c_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|}.$$

By *(i)* and *(ii)*,

$$c^{min}_{|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|} \leq c_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|}.$$

Combining together Eq. (5), Eq. (6) and Eq. (7), we can observe that Eq. (38) is a tripartite witness. ■

Corollary 2. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness then

$$W_{123} = c'_{\sigma_{12}} I_{123} - |\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}| \tag{39}$$

is a tripartite witness, where $c_{\sigma_{12}} \leq c'_{\sigma_{12}} < \lambda_{M|\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}|}$ and the (unnormalized) pure state $|\phi_{123}\rangle$ is a partial purification of σ_{12} with maximum eigenvalue.

As for Theorem 1, we can also give a simpler proof of Theorem 2.

Proof: As for Theorem 1, the proof uses two points:

(i) Suppose $|\mu_i\rangle$ is any unit pure state of the system $\mathcal{H}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3|W_{123}|\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\rangle \\
&= c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(tr_3(|\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}||\mu_3\rangle\langle\mu_3|)|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) \\
&\geq c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(tr_3(|\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|)|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) \qquad (40)\\
&\geq c_{\sigma_{12}} - tr_{12}(\sigma_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) \qquad (41)\\
&= tr(W_{12}|\mu_1\mu_2\rangle\langle\mu_1\mu_2|) \qquad (42)\\
&\geq 0, \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

since W_{12} is a bipartite witness.

(ii) We have $W_{123} < 0$ since, by Eq. (6), $c_{\sigma_{12}} < 1$.

By *(i)* and *(ii)* together, W_{123} is a tripartite witness. ■

Corollary 3. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness then

$$W_{12\cdots n} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12\cdots n} - |\phi_{123}\rangle\langle\phi_{123}| \otimes |\phi_4\rangle\langle\phi_4| \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| \tag{44}$$

is a n-partite witness, where $|\phi_{123}\rangle$ is any partial purification of σ_{12} with maximum eigenvalue and $|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ is a pure state in $\mathcal{H}_i$.

Although it seems that Theorem 2 is not as powerful as Theorem 1, we can construct a series of witnesses. Suppose that $\mathrm{rank}(\sigma_{12}) = R$ is the rank of $\sigma_{12} \in \mathcal{H}_{12}$. Let $\dim(\mathcal{H}_3) = d_3 < R$ be the dimension of $\mathcal{H}_3$, which is the space to which we want to extend. We can construct exactly

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d_3} \frac{(R-1)!}{(R-i)!(i-1)!} \cdot \frac{d_3!}{(d_3-i)!} = n$$

tripartite witnesses by partial purification because the maximum eigenvalue and eigenvector must be selected.

To extend Eq. (34) to tripartite witnesses in three copies of $\mathbb{C}^2$ (*i.e.*, $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$), we can construct

$$W^1_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4} I_{123} - |\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|$$

with one of the partial purification of σ_q with maximum eigenvalue

$$|\psi_{123}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1+3q}{4}}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle|1\rangle.$$

Also,

$$W^2_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4}I_{123} - |\psi'_{123}\rangle\langle\psi'_{123}|$$

with one of the partial purification of σ_q with maximum eigenvalue

$$|\psi'_{123}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1+3q}{4}}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle|0\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle|1\rangle.$$

Finally,

$$W^3_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4}I_{123} - |\psi''_{123}\rangle\langle\psi''_{123}|,$$

where

$$|\psi''_{123}\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1+3q}{4}}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle|1\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{1-q}{4}}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle|0\rangle,$$

and so on.

C. Bipartite witnesses

In standard quantum mechanics, there is no entanglement and no witness for a single system. However, it is interesting that we can consider a witness $W_1 = 0$ for a single system. We can extend W_1 to bipartite witnesses. Then,

$$W_1 = 0 = \frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{2}I$$

in a 2-level system, can be extended to a bipartite witness W_{12} in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$. We purify

$$\frac{1}{2}I = \frac{1}{2}(|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|)$$

to the pure state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \otimes |0\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |1\rangle)$$

in the composite system

$$W_{12} = \frac{1}{2}I_{12} - |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|.$$

We can also purify $\frac{1}{2}I$ to

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle + |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle),$$

and obtain the bipartite witness

$$W_{12} = \frac{1}{2}I_{12} - |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|.$$

IV. MIXED STATES EXTENSION

We begin the section with a question: can we extend bipartite witnesses by transforming states into mixed states in Eq. (5)? The following result answers the question:

Theorem 3. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness then

$$W_{12\cdots n} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{12\cdots n} - \sigma_{12} \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_3}}\sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_i}}\sigma_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_n}}\sigma_n \quad (45)$$

is a n-partite witness, where σ_i is any (normalized, mixed or pure) state in $\mathcal{H}_i$ with maximum eigenvalue $\lambda_{M\sigma_i}$.

Proof: *(i)* Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}\otimes\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_3}}\sigma_3\otimes\cdots\otimes\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_n}}\sigma_n} \\
&= \max_{\||\mu'_1\rangle\|=1,\||\mu'_2\rangle\|=1,\cdots\||\mu'_n\rangle\|=1} \langle\mu'_1\mu'_2\cdots\mu'_n| \\
&\quad \sigma_{12} \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_3}}\sigma_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_n}}\sigma_n|\mu'_1\mu'_2\cdots\mu'_n\rangle \quad (46) \\
&= \max_{\||\mu'_1\rangle\|=1,\||\mu'_2\rangle\|=1} \langle\mu'_1\mu'_2|\sigma_{12}|\mu'_1\mu'_2\rangle \cdot \langle e_3|\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_3}}\sigma_3|e_3\rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \cdots\cdot \langle e_i|\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_i}}\sigma_i|e_i\rangle \cdot \cdots\cdot \langle e_n|\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_n}}\sigma_n|e_n\rangle \quad (47) \\
&= c^{min}_{\sigma_{12}}, \quad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

where $|e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$ is the eigenvector corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of σ_i, with $3 \leq i \leq n$.

(ii) Then, since in these cases the maximum eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_i}}\sigma_i$ is 1, we have

$$\lambda_{M\sigma_{12}} = \lambda_{M\sigma_{12}\otimes\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_3}}\sigma_3\otimes\cdots\otimes\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_i}}\sigma_i\otimes\cdots\otimes\frac{1}{\lambda_{M\sigma_n}}\sigma_n}.$$

By *(i)* and *(ii)*, $W_{12\cdots n}$ is an n-partite witness. ■

Can we extend the bipartite witness by purification or partial purification in the form given by Eq. (2) [the dual form of Eq. (5)]? The answer is negative, since the minimum eigenvalue is 0 after the process of purification and then the space spanned by the eigenvectors generally is not a CES if we purify the state σ_{12}. The following extension, however, can be done by extending pure states to mixed states from both Eqs. (2) and (5).

Corollary 4. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness then

$$W_{12\cdots n} = c_{\sigma_{12}}I_{123} - \sigma_{12} \otimes I_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes I_n \quad (49)$$

is a n-partite witness in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \cdots \mathcal{H}_n$.

If ρ_{12} is the entangled state witnessed by W_{12}, $tr(W_{12\cdots n}\rho_{12\cdots n}) < 0$ by Corollary 4, where $\rho_{12\cdots n} = \rho_{12} \otimes \rho_3 \cdots \rho_i \cdots \otimes \rho_n$ and ρ_i is any state in $\mathcal{H}_i$. This result indicates that all states can be witnessed by $W_{12\cdots n}$, and that this is given by the tensor product of any state witnesses by W_{12} and any state in $\mathcal{H}_i$.

By Corollary 4, we can thus extend Eq. (34) to

$$W^q_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4}I_{123} - \sigma^q_{123}$$

where

$$\sigma^q_{123} = (\frac{1-q}{4}\left(|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + |\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| + |\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}|\right) + \frac{1+3q}{4}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}|) \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2| + |3\rangle\langle 3|) \quad (50)$$

in $C^2 \otimes C^2 \otimes C^4$.

We can extend Eq. (34) to

$$W^q_{123} = \frac{1+q}{4} I_{123} - \sigma^q_{123} \quad (51)$$

where

$$\sigma^q_{123} = (\frac{1-q}{4}\left(|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + |\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| + |\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}|\right) + \frac{1+3q}{4}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}|) \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) \quad (52)$$

in $C^2 \otimes C^2 \otimes C^2$.

Consider the same witness (unnormalized)

$$W_{12} = \tfrac{1}{4} W^{|\psi\rangle}_{12} \text{ as (normalized) } W^{|\psi\rangle}_{12} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^\Gamma,$$

where $\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$ and Γ refers to partial transposition. We can write W_{12} in the form given in Eq. (2)

$$W_{12} = \frac{1}{4}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|^\Gamma = \sigma_{12} - \frac{3}{16} I_{12},$$

where

$$\sigma_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{16} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{16} & \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{3}{16} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{5}{16} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we have the spectral decomposition

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{16}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}| \quad (53)$$

where $|\psi^0_{12}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle - |10\rangle)$ and $|\psi^1_{12}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$ are entangled, while $|\psi^2_{12}\rangle = |00\rangle$ and $|\psi^3_{12}\rangle = |11\rangle$ are separable. We can extend σ_{12} to

$$\sigma_{123} = (\frac{1}{16}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}|) \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1| + |2\rangle\langle 2| + |3\rangle\langle 3|) \quad (54)$$

which is full rank in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, and

$$W^1_{123} = \sigma_{123} - \frac{3}{16} I_{123} \quad (55)$$

is a tripartite witness. Similarly, we can extend σ_{12} to

$$\sigma'_{123} = (\frac{1}{16}|\psi^0_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^0_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^1_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^1_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^2_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^2_{12}| + \frac{5}{16}|\psi^3_{12}\rangle\langle\psi^3_{12}|) \otimes (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|), \quad (56)$$

which is full rank in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, and

$$W^2_{123} = \sigma'_{123} - \frac{3}{16} I_{123} \quad (57)$$

is a tripartite witness.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1, we can show $\lambda_{0\sigma_{123}} < \frac{3}{16} \leq c^{max}_{\sigma_{123}}$ in Eq. (55) and $\lambda_{0\sigma'_{123}} < \frac{3}{16} \leq c^{max}_{\sigma'_{123}}$ in Eq. (57), and that Eqs. (55) and (57) give tripartite witnesses.

Note that we can also extend σ_{12} to tripartite states by selecting partial bases in $\mathcal{H}_3$, but cannot for the extending from the form of Eq. (2). The simplest extension is just the following easy but still useful result, which can be also directly drawn from Theorem 3.

Corollary 5. If $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$ is a bipartite witness then

$$W_{12\cdots n} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12\cdots n} - \sigma_{12} \otimes |\psi_3\rangle\langle\psi_3| \otimes \cdots \otimes |\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi_n|$$

is an n-partite witness, where $|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ is a pure state in $\mathcal{H}_i$.

V. SUMMARY

Based on the general form of a witness $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$, we extend a bipartite witness to tripartite witnesses $W_{123} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{123} - |\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|$ by purifying or partially purifying σ_{12} to $|\psi_{123}\rangle\langle\psi_{123}|$. We extend a bipartite witness $W_{12} = c_{\sigma_{12}} I_{12} - \sigma_{12}$ to tripartite witnesses by extending σ_{12} to mixed product states in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3$. For all methods, we do not need to change the parameter $c_{\sigma_{12}}$. Our methods are universal and generalizable to extend a bipartite witness to the multipartite case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Fernando Brandão and Marco Piani for very helpful discussion and suggestions. We thank the referee for valuable suggestions to improve the original manuscript. We carried on this work while Wang was an academic visitor and Xu an undergraduate visiting student of the Department of Computer Science and the Department of Physics & Astronomy at University College London. Wang and Xu would like to thank this institution for the kind hospitality. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 61272013 and the National Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong province of China under Grant No. s2012040007302.

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki and K. Horodecki, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81** 865 (2009).

[2] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, *Phys. Rep.***474** 1 (2009).

[3] A. Jamiołkowski, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **3**, 275 (1972); M.-D. Choi, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **10**, 285 (1975).

[4] F. G. S. L. Brandão, *Phys. Rev. A* **72** 022310 (2005).

[5] P. Badziąg, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki and R. Augusiak, *Phys. Rev. A* **88** 010301(R) (2013), arXiv:quant-ph/0703097.

[6] B.-H. Wang and D.-Y. Long, *Phys. Rev. A***87** 062324 (2013).

[7] B. M. Terhal, *Phys. Lett. A* **271** 319 (2000).

[8] M. Lewenstein, B. Kraus, J. I. Cirac and P. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. A* **62** 052310 (2000).

[9] L. Gurvits and H. Barnum, *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 062311 (2002).

[10] J. Sperling and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. A* **79** 022318 (2009).

[11] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, *Phys. Rev. A* **69** 022308 (2004).

[12] J. Hou and Y. Guo, *Phys. Rev. A* **82** 052301 (2010).

[13] D. Chruściński, J. Pytel and G. Sarbicki, *Phys. Rev. A* **80** 062314 (2009).

[14] D. Chruściński and J. Pytel, *Phys. Rev. A* **82** 052310 (2010).

[15] P. van Loock and A. Furusawa, *Phys. Rev. A***67** 052315 (2003).

[16] M. Bourennane, M. Eibl, C. Kurtsiefer, S. Gaertner, H. Weinfurter, O. Gühne, P. Hyllus, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92** 087902 (2004).

[17] J. Eisert, F. G. S. L. Brandão, and K. M. R. Audenaert, *New J. Phys.* **9** 46 (2007).

[18] D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 145301 (2008).

[19] J. Sperling and W. Vogel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** 110503 (2013).

[20] G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 147902 (2003).

[21] D. Leibfried et al. *Science* **304** 1476 (2004).

[22] C. Gross, T. Zibold, E. Nicklas, J. Estève, and M. K. Oberthaler, *Nature* **464** 1165 (2010).

[23] Dariusz Chruscinski, and Gniewomir Sarbicki, arXiv:1402.2413 [quant-ph].

[24] B.-H. Wang and D.-Y. Long, *Phys. Rev. A***84** 014303 (2011).

[25] N. R. Wallach. *Contemp. Math.* **305** 291 (2002).

[26] K. R. Parthasarathy. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math.Sci)* **114** 365 (2004).

[27] T. Cubitt, A. Montanaro, and A. Winter. *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 022107 (2008).

[28] J. Walgate and A. Scott. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41** 375305 (2008).

[29] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).